

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,815	04/05/2004	Nai Sung Chou	CHOU 001C1	5016
7590 02/07/2005			EXAMINER	
Isaac A. Angres			HODGE, ROBERT W	
2001 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 301 Arlington, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commons	10/816,815	CHOU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert Hodge	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dawill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON to be the application to become the application to be the application to application to be the application to be the application to be application to be the application to be app	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	::
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 1 and 19-20 recite the limitation "the ignition flame" in letter "d" of the claim limitations. There is no earlier recitation of an ignition flame in claims 1 and 19-20; therefore there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

 Because of the dependency of claims 2-18 on claim 1 the same deficiency exists and they are therefore included in this rejection.

Double Patenting

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/816,815 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 20 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,740,436. Both claims are word for word identical. This is a double patenting rejection.

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,436. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-19 are generic to all that is recited in claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,436. That is, claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,436 falls entirely within the scope of claims 1-19 or, in other words, claims 1-19 fully encompass claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,436. Specifically the monitor means has been removed from the limitations in claims 1 and 19, and the method of adjusting the ignition flame temperature has been removed from claim 19. Because these limitations are not present in the claims, the claims are therefore broader and fully

Application/Control Number: 10/816,815 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

encompass the scope of claims 1 and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,436. And because of the dependency of claims 2-18 on claim 1 the same deficiency exists.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. The examiner notes that although all of the claims of the present application have been rejected, claims 1-19 as currently drafted would be allowable if the obvious double patenting rejection were overcome.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. French Publication No. 2723593 to Chiang teaches an apparatus for generating hydrogen and oxygen electrolytically, having a heat dissipating device, a fan, a pump, a gas storage tank and a cooling tank
 - b. U.S. Patent No. 5,082,544 to Willey et al. teaches "An electrolytic gas generating apparatus for producing a combustible mixture of hydrogen and oxygen by electrolysis of water" with a dehumidifier
 - c. U.S. Patent No. 5,244,558 to Chiang teaches "an apparatus for generating a mixture of hydrogen and oxygen for producing a hot flame"
 - d. U.S. Patent No. 6,096,178 to Amirav et al. teaches "a water electrolyzer device for generating a premixed hydrogen and oxygen gas mixture and for directing said gas mixture into a flame ionization detector"

Application/Control Number: 10/816,815 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

e. U.S. Patent No. 6,689259 to Klein teaches a device for generating hydrogen and oxygen which includes an electrolyte pump

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Hodge whose telephone number is (571) 272-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RWH 1-31-05

Jonathan Cregeau Patent Examinat Art Unit 1746